1	MELVIN R. GOLDMAN (BAR NO. 34097)	
2	mgoldman@mofo.com	
2	JORDAN ETH (BAR NO. 121617) jeth@mofo.com	
3	JUDSON E. LOBDELL (BAR NO. 146041)	
3	ilobdell@mofo.com	
4	MARK FOSTER (BAR NO. 223682)	
	mfoster@mofo.com	
5	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	
	425 Market Street	
6	San Francisco, California 94105-2482	
7	Telephone: (415) 268-7000	
7	Facsimile: (415) 268-7522	
8	Attorneys for Nominal Defendant The Clorox Comp	pany: Defendants Daniel
O	Boggan, Jr., Richard T. Conti, Tully M. Friedman, I	
9	Johnston, Robert W. Matschullat, Dean O. Morton,	
	Rose, Lary R. Scott, G. Craig Sullivan, and Carolyn	
10	Appearing Defendants William F. Ausfahl, Peter Be	
11	M. Brady, John W. Collins, Edward A. Cutter, Way	
11	Burns, Pam Fletcher, Gregory Frank, George J. Har- R. Johnson, David Matz, Gary G. Michael, Klaus M	
12	C. Roeth, Glenn Savage, Edward L. Scarff, Michael	
12	Shumway, Daniel G. Simpson, Laura Stein, Frank T	
13	Graham, James A. Vohs, Scott Weiss, and C.A. Wo	
14	UNITED STATES DIS	STRICT COURT
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA
10	1,01111211, 21011101	01 01324 014 141
16	DORIS STAEHR, Derivatively On Behalf of	DERIVATIVE ACTION
	THE CLOROX COMPANY,	G N G G G 5050 N H
17	D1-:4:00	Case No. C-06-7370-MJJ
18	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED
10	v.	ORDER: (1) GRANTING
19	, .	CLOROX'S MOTION TO DISMIS
17	FRANK A. TATASEO, LAWRENCE S.	WITHOUT PREJUDICE AND
20	PEIROS, GEORGE C. ROETH, STEVEN S.	(2) STAYING LITIGATION
	SILBERBLATT, KEITH R. TANDOWSKY,	
21	GREGORY S. FRANK, WAYNE L. DELKER,	Judge: Honorable Martin J. Jenkins
	DANIEL J. HEINRICH, LAURA STEIN,	Dept: Courtroom 11, 19th Floor
22	WARWICK EVERY-BURNS, LARY R. SCOTT,	
23	GARY G. MICHAEL, DANIEL BOGGAN, JR., TULLY M. FRIEDMAN, ROBERT W.	
23	MATSCHULLAT, JAN L. MURLEY,	
24	MICHAEL E. SHANNON, CAROLYN M.	
	TICKNOR, PAMELA THOMAS GRAHAM,	
25	GEORGE J. HARAD, G. CRAIG SULLIVAN,	
	GERALD E. JOHNSTON, WILLIAM F.	
26	AUSFAHL, JOHN W. COLLINS, ANTHONY	
27	W. BIEBL, JANET M. BRADY, KAREN M.	
27	ROSE, RICHARD T. CONTI, [Caption continued on following page],	
	[Capaon continued on following page],	

28

1	GLENN R. SAVAGE, DAVID G. MATZ,
2	SCOTT A. WEISS, SCOTT D. HOUSE, DANIEL G. SIMPSON, PETER D. BEWLEY,
3	EDWARD A. CUTTER, PAMELA FLETCHER, C. A. WOLFE, EDWARD L. SCARFF, DEAN O.
	MORTON, CHRISTOPH HENKEL, URSULA
4	FAIRCHILD, FORREST N. SHUMWAY, JAMES A. VOHS, JUERGEN MANCHOT,
5	JOCHEN KRAUTTER, KLAUS MORWIND, ELAINE L. CHAO, WILLIAM R. JOHNSON,
6	and DOES 1-25, inclusive,
7	Defendants,
8	-and-
9	THE CLOROX COMPANY, a Delaware Corporation,
10	Nominal Defendant.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	STIPULATION
2	Pursuant to Northern District of California Civil Local Rules 6-1, 6-2, 7-3(b), 7-12, 16-
3	2(e), and paragraph 7 of the Court's Standing Order, Plaintiff Doris Staehr, Nominal Defendant
4	The Clorox Company ("Clorox"); Defendants Daniel Boggan, Jr., Richard T. Conti, Tully M.
5	Friedman, Daniel J. Heinrich, Gerald E. Johnston, Robert W. Matschullat, Dean O. Morton,
6	Lawrence S. Peiros, Karen M. Rose, Lary R. Scott, G. Craig Sullivan, and Carolyn M. Ticknor;
7	and Specially Appearing Defendants William F. Ausfahl, Peter Bewley, Anthony W. Biebl, Janet
8	M. Brady, John W. Collins, Edward A. Cutter, Wayne Delker, Warwick Every-Burns, Pam
9	Fletcher, Gregory Frank, George J. Harad, Christoph Henkel, William R. Johnson, David Matz,
10	Gary G. Michael, Klaus Morwind, Jan L. Murley, George C. Roeth, Glenn Savage, Edward L.
11	Scarff, Michael E. Shannon, Forest N. Shumway, Daniel G. Simpson, Laura Stein, Frank Tataseo
12	Pamela Thomas-Graham, James A. Vohs, Scott Weiss, and C.A. Wolfe (collectively with
13	Defendants, the "Individual Defendants") hereby stipulate as follows:
14	Procedural History
15	1. On November 3, 2006, Plaintiff filed her Amended Shareholder Derivative
16	Amended Complaint (the "Amended Complaint"), which was removed to this Court on
17	December 1, 2006. Foster Declaration. ¶ 2; Docket Item No. 1.1
18	2. In her Amended Complaint, Plaintiff asserts derivative claims on behalf of Clorox
19	against the Individual Defendants. Foster Decl. ¶ 3.
20	3. On December 22, 2006, Clorox moved to dismiss the Amended Complaint
21	pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23.1 and 41(b), approximately one month before the
22	January 19, 2007 response deadline established by the parties' stipulation, which this Court
23	granted on December 6, 2006. <i>Id.</i> ¶ 4; <i>See</i> Docket Item No. 7;
24	
25	
26	
27	¹ Citations to the "Foster Declaration" are to the Declaration of Mark Foster (<i>see</i> Docket Item No. 20) that is being filed concurrently with and in support of this Stipulation pursuant to the
28	requirements of the Court's Standing Order.

1		<u>Previous Stipulations</u>
2	4.	On January 10, 2007, this Court granted the parties' stipulation extending the time
3	for Plaintiff to	o oppose Clorox's motion to dismiss and extending the time for the Individual
4	Defendants to	respond to the Amended Complaint unless and until thirty days after the Court
5	makes the thr	eshold determinations that demand on the Clorox's Board of Directors ("Board") is
6	excused and I	Plaintiff has standing pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.1 to proceed
7	with her deriv	vative claims on behalf of Clorox against the Individual Defendants.
8	Foster Decl.	5; Docket Item No. 15.
9	5.	On February 13, 2007, the Court granted the parties' stipulation extending the time
10	for Plaintiff to	o oppose Clorox's motion to dismiss and providing that an initial case management
11	conference sh	ould not be held unless and until 45 days after the Court rules that Plaintiff has
12	standing purs	uant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.1 to proceed with her derivative claims
13	on behalf of C	Clorox against the Individual Defendants; Foster Decl. ¶ 6; Docket Item No. 18.
14		Plaintiff's Non-Opposition To Clorox's Motion To Dismiss
15	6.	Pursuant to Northern District of California Civil Local Rule 7-3(b), Plaintiff
16	hereby states	that she does not oppose Clorox's motion to dismiss (Docket Item No. 7).
17	7.	Plaintiff will send a letter (the "Demand Letter") to Clorox's current Board no later
18	than May 30,	2007, to demand that the Board take action in response to the facts and allegations
19	set forth in th	e Demand Letter.
20	THER	REFORE, the parties hereby stipulate, subject to the Court's approval, that:
21	1.	Clorox's motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint (Docket Item No. 7) is
22	granted withou	out prejudice to Plaintiff filing a second amended complaint ("SAC") within 30 days
23	of the Board'	s response to the Demand Letter. The hearing on Clorox's motion to dismiss,
24	scheduled for	May 22, 2007, at 9:30 a.m., is vacated.
25	2.	This action shall be stayed pending the filing of a SAC.
26	3.	If the Board refuses to institute the action demanded by Plaintiff, Plaintiff may, at
27	her discretion	, file the SAC.

1	4. The I	ndividual Defendants will not be required to answer or otherwise respond to		
2	the SAC or any furth	er amended complaint permitted by the Court unless and until 30 days after		
3	the Court rules that I	Plaintiff has standing to proceed with derivative claims against the Individual		
4	Defendants, consiste	nt with the Stipulation and Order granted on January 10, 2007 (Docket Item		
5	No. 15).			
6	5. An in	itial case management conference will not be held unless and until 45 days		
7	after the Court rules	that Plaintiff has standing to proceed with derivative claims asserted in a		
8	SAC or any further a	SAC or any further amended complaint permitted by the Court, consistent with the Stipulation		
9	and Order granted or	February 13, 2007 (Docket Item No. 18).		
10	6. By en	tering into this Stipulation, the Clorox and the Individual Defendants do not		
11	waive, and expressly	reserve all defenses, including jurisdictional defenses, that they may have.		
12	Dated: April 27, 200			
13		425 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94105		
14		Telephone: (415) 268-7000 Fax: (415) 268-7522		
15		Tux. (113) 200 7322		
16		By: /s/ Judson Lobdell [e-filing signature]		
17		Attorneys for Nominal Defendant The Clorox		
18		Company; Defendants Daniel Boggan, Jr., Richard T. Conti, Tully M. Friedman, Daniel J. Heinrich,		
19		Gerald E. Johnston, Robert W. Matschullat, Dean O. Morton, Lawrence S. Peiros, Karen M. Rose,		
20		Lary R. Scott, G. Craig Sullivan, and Carolyn M. Ticknor; and Specially Appearing Defendants		
21		William F. Ausfahl, Peter Bewley, Anthony W. Biebl, Janet M. Brady, John W. Collins, Edward A.		
22		Cutter, Wayne Delker, Warwick Every-Burns, Pam Fletcher, Gregory Frank, George J. Harad,		
23		Christoph Henkel, William R. Johnson, David Matz, Gary G. Michael, Klaus Morwind, Jan L.		
24		Murley, George C. Roeth, Glenn Savage, Edward L. Scarff, Michael E. Shannon, Forest N.		
25		Shumway, Daniel G. Simpson, Laura Stein, Frank Tataseo, Pamela Thomas-Graham, James A. Vohs,		
26		Scott Weiss, and C.A. Wolfe		
27				
28				

iling signature]
iling signature]
iling signature]
iling signature]
iling signature
نثع
Jenkins
ourt Judge
used to file this
CLOROX'S
YING LITIGATION
Ind Lindarion
on Lobdell and
LP
g signature]
3